

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MUSLAH ABDULHAFEEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

D039631

(Super. Ct. No. SCS159809)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Jesus Rodriguez, Judge. Affirmed.

Muslah Abdulhafeez entered a negotiated guilty plea pursuant to *People v. West* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 595 to child abuse (Pen. Code, § 273a, subd. (a)). The court placed him on probation. After he admitted violating probation, the court sentenced him to the two-year lower prison term. Abdulhafeez appeals. We affirm.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Because Abdulhafeez entered a guilty plea, he cannot challenge the facts underlying the conviction. (Pen. Code, § 1237.5; *People v. Westbrook* (1996) 43 Cal.App.4th 220, 223-224.) We need not recite the facts.

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief summarizing the facts and proceedings below. He presents no argument for reversal, but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, he lists the following information to assist the court in its independent review of the record: the underlying conviction of one count of child abuse and sentence was the result of a guilty plea; the probation revocation was the result of his admitted violation; and the court did not state reasons on the record for imposing the two-year sentence.

We granted Abdulhafeez permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded. A review of the record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, including the information listed pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issues. Abdulhafeez has been adequately represented by counsel on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

Judgment affirmed.

McINTYRE, J.

WE CONCUR:

BENKE, Acting P. J.

HALLER, J.